## Traditional Embedded Value (TEV)

Capacity Building Seminar on Embedded Values

October 2017


## Agenda

- Components
- Adjusted Net Worth (ANW)
- Value of In-force (VIF)
- Assumptions
- Risk Discount Rate (RDR)
- Time Value of Financial Options and Guarantees (TVFOG)
- Analysis of Movement
- Limitations



## Components

## Definitions

- Embedded Value (EV) = Measure of value created by existing assets and liabilities of insurer for shareholders
- Equivalent to balance sheet value or net worth of a company No allowance for goodwill
Value adjusted for expected return on capital by shareholders


## Embedded Value

- Adjusted Net Worth (ANW) = Assets - Liabilities
- Assets and liabilities as per balance sheet
- Liabilities held on prudent basis for insurance companies
- Present Value of Future Profits (PVFP) = Release of prudent margins in liabilities
- ANW = Free Surplus (FS) + Required Capital (RC)
- Cost of Capital (CoC) = Cost of having to hold solvency margin


## Components




Adjusted Net Worth (ANW)

## Adjusted Net Worth

| Balance Sheet (INR ‘000s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  | Liabilities |  |
| Shareholders | 45,00,000 | Non-linked reserves | 3,65,00,000 |
| Policyholders | 3,50,00,000 | Credit / (Debit) Fair value | 50,000 |
| Linked assets | 2,00,00,000 | Linked reserves | 1,95,00,000 |
| Loans | 1,00,000 | Discontinuance Fund | 2,50,000 |
| Fixed assets | 15,50,000 |  | 3,00,000 |
| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| Cash | 16,50,000 | Current Liabilities | 30,00,000 |
| Advances and other assets | 40,00,000 | Provisions | 65,00,000 |
| Sub Total | 56,50,000 | Sub Total | 95,00,000 |
| Total | 6,68,00,000 | Total | 6,61,00,000 |
| ANW = Total Assets - Total Liabilities |  |  |  |

ANW calculated consistent with accounting practice for assets

## Adjusted Book Value or Market Value?

- ANW to ideally reflect applicable accounting practice
- Policyholder assets on adjusted book value for India
- Shareholder assets can be taken on market value
- Using market values will theoretically overestimate in case of $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{R}$ gains and underestimate in case of $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{R}$ losses
- Critical to ensure consistency while setting assumptions
- Expected taxes on U/R gains should be allowed
- Credit for only gains attributable to S/H on par business


## Arguments for using Market Values

- Easier to implement
- Avoids requirement for ALM to calculate future weighted average yields
- Same assumptions for existing and new business calculation
- Easier to justify
- Currently no prohibitions on realizing market value gains



## Value of In-force

- Calculated using Discounted Cash-flow (DCF) Method
- Present value of future profits (PVFP) - Cost of Capital (CoC)
- PVFP - Profits arising from margins in statutory liability
- No losses in future if reserving prudent
- CoC - Cost of holding solvency capital
- Cost of holding statutory liability in-built in PVFP
- Assumes immediate distribution of full surplus arising
- Material dependence on accuracy of projected reserves
- Reserve rebasing


## Points to consider (1)



Points to consider (2)



## Assumptions

## Best estimate based on own experience study

## Investment Returns

- Internally consistent
- ANW calculation
- Inflation / RDR
- Bonus / Crediting Rates
- Current Vs Strategic asset mix


## Mortality

- Allowance for IBNR


## Persistency

- Net of reinstatements
- Allowance for paid-ups and partial withdrawals


## Expenses

- Maintenance expense overruns

Reserving assumptions consistent with ANW calculation


## Risk Discount Rate

- Reflect Shareholder's Expected Return on Business
- Common approaches for estimation:
- Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)
- Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)
- RDR $=$ Risk Free Rate + Beta X Market Risk Premium
- Risk free rate - 10-year government bond yield
- Market returns in excess of risk free rate
- Beta - Relative volatility of insurance shares to market
- Vary depending on:
- Existing or new business
- Riskiness of business
- Investor


Time Value of Financial Options and Guarantees (TVFOG) $\square$

## Time Value of Financial Options and Guarantees

- Can be allowed for explicitly in TEV calculation
- Mandatorily required only by EEV
- Applicable for asymmetric guarantees
- Generally products where policyholder cash-flows vary with investment returns
- ULIP an exception as investment returns fully attributable to policyholders, unless explicit guarantee provided
- Ideally EV calculations should be done stochastically
- Using average investment returns instead an approximation
- Approximation valid only for symmetric guarantees
- TVFOG = Average EV over stochastic scenarios - EV over average scenario


## Example

AP - INR20,000
SA - INR100,000
PT - 10 years
PPT - 5 Years
Survival benefit -
25\% of SA
Paid after PPT to PT
Investment Return - 7\%
RDR - 13\%

| Scenario | Investment Return | PVFP |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $2 \%$ | $-5,798$ |
| 2 | $3 \%$ | $-2,383$ |
| 3 | $4 \%$ | 1,032 |
| 4 | $5 \%$ | 4,446 |
| 5 | $6 \%$ | 7,861 |
| 6 | $7 \%$ | 11,276 |
| 7 | $8 \%$ | 14,691 |
| 8 | $9 \%$ | 18,105 |
| 9 | $10 \%$ | 21,520 |
| 10 | $11 \%$ | 24,935 |
| 11 | $12 \%$ | 28,349 |
| Average | $7.0 \%$ | 11,276 |

## Example

AP - INR20,000
SA - INR100,000
PT - 10 years
PPT - 5 Years
Survival benefit -
25\% of SA
Paid after PPT to PT
Investment Return - 7\%
RDR - 13\%
Symmetric Guarantee

| Scenario | Investment Return | PVFP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 \%$ | $-5,798$ |
| 2 | $3 \%$ | $-2,383$ |
| 3 | $4 \%$ | 1,032 |
| 4 | $5 \%$ | 4,446 |
| 5 | $6 \%$ | 7,861 |
| 6 | $7 \%$ | 11,276 |
| 7 | $8 \%$ | 14,691 |
| 8 | $9 \%$ | 18,105 |
| 9 | $10 \%$ | 21,520 |
| 10 | $11 \%$ | 24,935 |
| 11 | $12 \%$ | 28,349 |
| Average | $7.0 \%$ | 11,276 |

Average EV over scenarios = EV over average scenario

## Example (continued)

Protection against lower investment returns

Survival benefit reduced from $25.0 \%$ to $23.5 \%$ of SA if investment return lower than 4\%

| Scenario | Investment Return | PVFP |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $2 \%$ | -260 |
| 2 | $3 \%$ | 2,912 |
| 3 | $4 \%$ | 1,032 |
| 4 | $5 \%$ | 4,446 |
| 5 | $6 \%$ | 7,861 |
| 6 | $7 \%$ | 11,276 |
| 7 | $8 \%$ | 14,691 |
| 8 | $9 \%$ | 18,105 |
| 9 | $10 \%$ | 21,520 |
| 10 | $11 \%$ | 24,935 |
| 11 | $12 \%$ | 28,349 |
| Average | $7.0 \%$ | 12,261 |

## Example (continued)

| Protection against lower investment returns | Scenario | Investment Return | PVFP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2\% | -260 |
| Survival benefit reduced | 2 | 3\% | 2,912 |
| from $25.0 \%$ to $23.5 \%$ of | 3 | 4\% | 1,032 |
| SA if investment return | 4 | 5\% | 4,446 |
| lower than 4\% | 5 | 6\% | 7,861 |
| Asymmetric Guarantee | 6 | 7\% | 11,276 |
|  | 7 | 8\% | 14,691 |
| TVFOG $=985$ | 8 | 9\% | 18,105 |
|  | 9 | 10\% | 21,520 |
| Average return of 7.3\% | 10 | 11\% | 24,935 |
| gives the same PVFP as | 11 | 12\% | 28,349 |
| the average value | Average | 7.0\% | 12,261 |

Average EV over scenarios $=\mathrm{EV}$ over average scenario


Analysis of Movement

## Analysis of Movement



## Analysis of Movement



## Analysis of Movement



## Analysis of Movement




Limitations

## TEV Limitation

## Subjective allowance for risks



All risk allowances through RDR

## Questions



