Case Study - Audit Trail

Presenters:

Himanshu Garg Patrick Curtin

Guide:

Mayur Ankolekar

India Fellowship Seminar Mumbai, India 13 June 2013

Agenda

- Case study background
- Identities & Conflicts
- Issues
- Recommended Audit Trail
- Conclusion



- We are <u>new</u> investment consultant to Trust of a UK pension scheme.
- Dur <u>contract</u> is with the trust and not with the sponsoring company.
- Finance Director (FD) of sponsoring company is trustee as well.



- ▶ FD is now retired and a <u>new</u> FD is appointed.
- New FD has chosen <u>not to be trustee as well</u> like predecessor to avoid conflict of interest.
- New FD has reviewed <u>past</u> pension scheme files.

- New FD noted that audit trail was not adequately documented.
- He highlighted a <u>recommendation</u> of <u>previous</u> investment consultant which was changed from draft to final report without any audit trail.
- The recommendation was related to <u>equity</u> <u>allocation</u>.
- In draft report, the allocation recommended was 45% (from actual 80%) but in final report it was 60%.

- New FD doubts that previous FD <u>influenced</u> the change in recommendation.
- He also questioned the <u>integrity</u> of <u>previous</u> investment consultant.
- Pension scheme would have been in much healthier state if draft recommendation (45%) would have been followed.

Identities & Conflicts

- "Trustee" and "Sponsor" are theoretically two independent identities.
- In real world, Sponsor has influence over Trustee (hence on advisors appointed by Trustee).



Trustee and Sponsor can be same person however conflict of interest arises.

Identities & Conflicts

Conflict of interest can be in areas of:

- Level of Funding
- Investment composition
- Appointment of Advisors, Scheme Actuary
- Overall Governance





Issue 1: - How would you deal with the situation you find yourself in?

- Practically difficult to say NO to invite of FD.
- Inform Trustee about the meeting and the agenda (via email).
- Not to agree/disagree with the opinion of FD in regard to Audit trail
 of previous consultant's work files.
- Not to criticise work of other professionals' Maintain Independence.
- Explain FD that there can be other reasons (than the recommendation) for poor funding position which can be explored.
- Scheme Actuary can be different from Investment Actuary.

Issue 2: - What professional issues arise?

- Identities and Conflicts
- Adherence to Terms of Reference of the engagement with the client.
- Compliance of APS P1: Duties and Responsibilities of Members Undertaking Work In Relation to Pension Schemes.
- Communication with previous advisor at the time of appointment.
- Conflict of Interest
- Respect to other professional's work

Issue 3: - If you found yourself in the position of the previous investment consultant being pressurized to change your advice, how would you deal with the situation?

- Don't change the recommendation however document the management comments in addition to the recommendation.
- It is ok if management comments are not in sync with the recommendation.
- Document the risks/implications of management response.
- Inform in writing to Trust and document it in working file.
- Maintain proper Audit Trail.
- Trustee has option to decline recommendation.

Issue 4: - Does it matter where the pressure is coming from i.e. company or Trustee?

• No

Recommended Audit Trail

- Management response to recommendations in report (it should be visible!).
- Communication (e.g. emails, memos) to trust in case recommendation is changed. It should also include reason of change and the implications of new recommendation.
- Meeting Minutes of discussions
- Basis of recommendation(s).



Conclusion



- Importance of Audit trail in professional work;
- Importance of understanding roles and responsibilities;
- Importance of Professional Standards.